

Published on Web 11/22/2003

## The Surprising Nitrogen-Analogue Chemistry of the Methyltrioxorhenium-Catalyzed Olefin Epoxidation

Dirk V. Deubel\*

Swiss Center for Scientific Computing, ETH Zürich, 6928 Manno, Switzerland

Received October 15, 2003; E-mail: deubel@cscs.ch

For three decades, chemists have been searching for four-and five-membered metallacycles as organometallic intermediates in heteroatom-transfer reactions of d<sup>0</sup> metal complexes with olefins.<sup>1,2</sup> In 1970, Mimoun and co-workers<sup>3</sup> reported the epoxidation of olefins with the molybdenum diperoxo complex  $[Mo(O)(O-O)_2 (OPR_3)$ ] (R = N(CH\_3)<sub>2</sub>) and postulated a stepwise reaction mechanism via an "organometallacycle" III (metalla-2,3-dioxolane), whereas in 1972 Sharpless and co-workers4 suggested a concerted oxygen-transfer mechanism via transition state V (Figure 1). The long-standing controversy<sup>5</sup> was still lasting when Herrmann and co-workers<sup>6</sup> developed the industrial olefin epoxidation process based on methyltrioxorhenium (MTO) as the catalyst and hydrogen peroxide as the terminal oxidant, with rhenium peroxo complexes,  $[Re(O)(O-O)_2Me]$  and  $[Re(O)_2(O-O)Me]$ , being active species.<sup>6c</sup> The controversy on the reaction mechanism was solved with the help of the density functional theory (DFT): In 1998, Rösch and co-workers<sup>7</sup> demonstrated the Sharpless mechanism to be strongly preferred. Recent studies<sup>8,9</sup> showed the Sharpless mechanism to be favored for other peroxo complexes and substrates as well.

Aiming to design the first d<sup>0</sup> metal complex that follows the Mimoun mechanism, we have performed a DFT study at the B3LYP level on the reaction of [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>(O–NH)Me] (1) with ethylene.<sup>10</sup> The idea behind the calculations was the fact that the isodesmic reaction,  $H_2O_2 + NH_3 \rightarrow NH_2OH + H_2O$ , is -24 kcal/mol exergonic, thus rendering any reaction involving the cleavage of an N–O bond thermodynamically less favorable than the corresponding O–O cleavage reaction. Direct nitrene transfer to the olefin (aziridination) from [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>(O–NH)Me] would require N–O cleavage, whereas the competing formation of an organometallic rhena-2,3- or 3,2-oxazolidine<sup>11</sup> retains the N–O bond.

The free enthalpy profile displayed in Figure 2 shows that aziridination ( $\Delta G_a = 40.8 \text{ kcal/mol}, 3$ ) is kinetically slightly more favorable than the formation of the rhena-2,3-oxazolidine via [2+2] addition of ethylene across the Re–N bond of [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>(O–NH)-Me] ( $\Delta G_a = 42.1 \text{ kcal/mol}, 9$ ). Direct oxene transfer (epoxidation,  $\Delta G_a = 50.4 \text{ kcal/mol}, 4$ ) and the formation of a rhena-3,2-oxazolidine upon [2+2] addition of ethylene across the Re–O bond of [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>(O–NH)Me] ( $\Delta G_a = 48.8 \text{ kcal/mol}, 10$ ) have significantly higher barriers. The rhena-2,3-oxazolidine (12) and rhena-3,2-oxazolidine (13) are less stable than the reactants by only 6.8 and 11.3 kcal/mol, respectively. Figure 2 summarizes the free enthalpy profile of these reactions in red (with Re–O bond cleavage), blue (with Re–N bond cleavage), and green (reactions of [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>(O–O)Me] as a reference).

The fragmentation of the rhena-2,3-oxazolidine ( $\Delta G_a = 31.4$  (=38.2 - 6.8) kcal/mol, **15**) is faster than its formation and liberates ethylideneazane via sigmatropic cycloreversion rather than aziridine, indicating that this pathway could be experimentally distinguished from the Sharpless mechanism by a different product. Similarly, the fragmentation of the rhena-3,2-oxazolidine ( $\Delta G_a = 36.1$  (=47.4 - 11.3) kcal/mol, **16**) does not give oxirane but acetaldehyde, which



Sharpless mechanism

Figure 1. Controversy on the mechanism of the olefin epoxidation with peroxo complexes.

is also the product of the rhena-2,3-dioxolane fragmentation. Although the fragmentation of the nitrogen-containing metallacycles involves N–O bond cleavage, these reactions are unimolecular and therefore entropically more favorable than the initial bimolecular reactions of  $[Re(O)_2(O-NH)Me]$  with the olefin.

The calculations reveal an additional mechanism with a lower activation free enthalpy that also leads to the formation of an organometallic intermediate. Adam and co-workers12 demonstrated dioxiranes and carbonyl oxides to be different species. Mimoun's5a mechanistic proposals for the olefin epoxidation include 1,3-dipolar metal<sup>+</sup>-O-O<sup>-</sup> species<sup>13</sup> as potential precursors of metalla-2,3dioxolanes. Selke and Valentine<sup>14</sup> reported the formation of a metalla-analogue carbonyl oxide upon ligand-induced opening of a ferric porphyrin  $\eta^2$ -peroxo complex. However, Mo(VI) and Re-(VII)  $\eta^2$ -peroxo complexes apparently give  $\eta^1$ -peroxo species only upon protonation at the peroxo ligand.<sup>15</sup> In contrast, we show the rhenaoxaziridine moiety of [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>(O-NH)Me] to open with an activation free enthalpy of 16.8 kcal/mol (21). The ring-opening product [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^1$ -O-NH)Me] (23), which is 5.5 kcal/mol less stable than the parent  $\eta^2$  species, seemed to be a metalla-analogue imine oxide and undergoes a [3+2] cycloaddition with ethylene yielding the rhena-3,2-oxazolidine. The activation free enthalpy of 30.7 (=36.2 - 5.5) kcal/mol for the [3+2] cycloaddition (25) is smaller than those for the reactions of  $[Re(O)_2(\eta^2-O-NH)Me]$  with ethylene. Figure 2 summarizes the free enthalpy profile of the reactions via  $\eta^1$  species in magenta (with Re–O bond cleavage) and cyan (with Re-N bond cleavage).

Analysis of the Wiberg bond index matrix in natural atomic orbital (NAO) basis<sup>16</sup> reveals the unexpected electronic structure of [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^1$ -O-*N*H)Me]. The molecule should be considered a metalla-analogue nitrosonium ylide (**23b**) rather than a metalla-analogue imine oxide (**23a**, Figure 2). The Lewis structure **23b** is further supported by a relatively short N-O bond of 1.24 Å (as



*Figure 2.* Calculated free enthalpy profile for the reactions of  $[\text{Re}(O)_2(O-\text{NH})\text{Me}]$  with ethylene. The profile for the reactions of  $[\text{Re}(O)_2(O-O)\text{Me}]$  with ethylene is given in green for comparison. **23a** and **23b** are potential Lewis structures of the ring-opening product  $[\text{Re}(O)_2(\eta^1-O-N\text{H})\text{Me}]$ . A stable structure of an ethylene complex was not obtained.

compared to 1.41 Å in [Re(O)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -O–NH)Me], 1) and by NPA atomic partial charges.<sup>16</sup>

In summary, we have predicted a stepwise mechanism for the reaction of  $[\text{Re}(\text{O})_2(\eta^2\text{-}\text{O}-\text{NH})\text{Me}]$  with ethylene that is more favorable than the concerted nitrene-transfer event. The stepwise mechanism proceeds via a novel  $[\text{Re}(\text{O})_2(\eta^1\text{-}\text{O}-N\text{H})\text{Me}]$  species and an organometallic rhena-3,2-oxazolidine. Fragmentation of the organometallacyle gives acetaldehyde. These results stand in sharp contrast to the concerted mechanism of the olefin epoxidation with rhenium peroxo complexes.

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks Michele Parrinello for support, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for a Liebig Fellowship, and the computing center at Marburg University, Germany, for providing additional resources.

**Supporting Information Available:** Computational details, calculated structures, and analysis of **1** and **23** (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

- Examples: (a) Rappé, A. K.; Li, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11188.
   (b) Chen, X.; Zhang, X.; Chen, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3798.
   (c) Deubel, D. V.; Frenking, G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 645 and refs cited therein.
- (2) Organometallacycles of late transition metal complexes are experimentally known, e.g.: (a) Sheldon, R. A.; van Doorn, J. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 94, 115. (b) de Bruin, B.; Peters, T. P. J.; Thewissen, S.; Blok, A. N. J.; Wilting, J. B. M.; de Gelder, R.; Smits, J. M. M.; Gal, A. W. Angew.

Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2135. (c) Szuromi, E.; Shan, H.; Sharp, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10522.

- (3) Mimoun, H.; Seree de Roch, I.; Sajus, L. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 37.
- (4) Sharpless, K. B.; Townsend, J. M.; Williams, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 295.
- (5) (a) Mimoun, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 734. (b) Sundermeyer, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1144.
- (6) (a) Herrmann, W. A.; Fischer, R. W.; März, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1638. (b) Herrmann, W. A.; Fischer, R. W.; Scherer, W.; Rauch, M. U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1157. (c) Al-Ajlouni, A. M.; Espenson, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9243. (d) Lane, B. S.; Burgess, K. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2457.
- (7) Gisdakis, P.; Antonczak, S.; Köstlmeier, S.; Herrmann, W. A.; Rösch, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2211.
- (8) Deubel, D. V.; Sundermeyer, J.; Frenking, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10101.
- (9) Di Valentin, C.; Gandolfi, R.; Gisdakis, P.; Rösch, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2365.
- (10) Energies were calculated at the B3LYP/III+//II level, which includes large basis sets and a relativistic ECP at the metal. Only the isomers lowest in energy are shown. TS were verified by IRC calculations. For methodological details, see Supporting Information or: Deubel, D. V.; Schlecht, S.; Frenking, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10085. The formation of [ReO<sub>2</sub>-(O-NH)Me] from MTO and NH<sub>2</sub>OH is predicted to be 9.3 kcal/mol endergonic, and the formation of [ReO<sub>2</sub>(O-O)Me] from MTO and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is predicted to be 1.7 kcal/mol endergonic.
- (11) In metallacycle nomenclature, we assign the highest priority to the metal. (12) Adam W. Dürr H. Haas W. Lohray B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.
- (12) Adam, W.; Dürr, H.; Haas, W.; Lohray, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 25, 101.
- (13) In  $\eta^1$  species, the atom to which the metal is bound is shown in italics.
- (14) Selke, M.; Valentine, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 2652.
- (15) (a) Deubel, D. V.; Sundermeyer, J.; Frenking, G. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 329.
  (b) Gisdakis, P.; Rösch, N. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 3755.
- (16) Reed, A. E.; Curtiss, L. A.; Weinhold, F. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 899. For details, see Supporting Information.

JA039066V